UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,323	04/09/2004	Yosuke Hosoya	09792909-5853	9692
26263 SNR DENTON	7590 02/18/201 US LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 0610		ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH		
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/821,323	HOSOYA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer	1729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 February 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL		•	•
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance
the arguments are not convincing. The examiner has co homogeneous metal oxide coating and finds the argume November 12, 2011, especially the bottom of page 3 and et al. is homogeneous.	ents unconvincing. Applicant is direc	cted to the Final Rejec	tion mailed
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Ula C Ruddock/			

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1729

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20110214